



**HAYLE TOWN COUNCIL**

**Planning Applications (App A)**

**16 July 2015**

| App. N <sup>o</sup> | Date received | Applicant      | Application details and Location  | Date for comments                               | CC Officer and Comments  | HTC Decision and Date sent to CC   | To go to CC Cmtc | CC Decision and date Rec'd | Report CC Decision to HTC |
|---------------------|---------------|----------------|---|---|--|--|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| PA15/05438          | 15/6/15       | Mrs Karen West | Crown reduction to one Holm Oak<br><br>28 Gwel Trencrom, Hayle TR27 6PJ | 3/7/15 – extension req'd<br>1/7/15 and approved | Kirsty Smith<br>The Tree Officer offers the following comments and the proposed works are supported. I visited the site on the 19th June 2015 and my comments are as follows. Having regard to the tree and its surroundings, I can see no arboricultural justification for the works, as the tree is clear of all structures and does not impede the use of the garden area. But, as the works will be limited to a light crown reduction by condition and works of this type have been previously approved, I would have no objections to the currently proposed crown reduction. A planning condition must be applied to the consent requiring the works to be carried out in accordance with BS3998:2010 Tree Work - Recommendations and to the specification provided in the application documents. The consented works do not include any additional | Hayle Town Council supports the Tree Officer's comments – submitted online 17/7/15 |                  | Approved 20/7/15           |                           |



**HAYLE TOWN COUNCIL**

**Planning Applications (App A)**

**16 July 2015**

| App. N <sup>o</sup> | Date received | Applicant        | Application details and Location  | Date for comments                                | CC Officer and Comments   | HTC Decision and Date sent to CC           | To go to CC Cmtte | CC Decision and date Rec'd          | Report CC Decision to HTC |
|---------------------|---------------|------------------|---|--|---|--|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                     |               |                  |   |  | branch removal, crown lifting or crown thinning, and the crown reduction should be implemented to the previously established pruning points. This is standard practice where cyclical pruning is proposed. In this case, I would expect to see cyclical pruning carried out every 7 - 9 years as opposed to a shorter pruning cycle, due to clearance which exists between the tree and the surrounding features.<br><i>Oliver Bennett</i> Tree Officer |  |                   |                                     |                           |
| PA15/05458          | 24/6/15       | Mr James Jeffery | Change of use from Workshop/Storage to Leisure (Health and Fitness Facility)<br><br>Unit 3C Guildford Road Industrial Estate, Hayle | 13/7/15 – extension req'd<br>1/7/15 and approved | Steve Diment  | No objection – submitted online<br>17/7/15 |                   | Approved with conditions<br>14/8/15 |                           |



# HAYLE TOWN COUNCIL

## Planning Applications (App A)

16 July 2015

| App. N°    | Date received | Applicant               | Application details and Location   | Date for comments                             | CC Officer and Comments   | HTC Decision and Date sent to CC          | To go to CC Cmte | CC Decision and date Rec'd | Report CC Decision to HTC |
|------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|---|---|---|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| PA15/05060 | 25/6/15       | Cornwall County Council | Construction of access ramp to main entrance of school. Provision of new modular classroom and associated works<br><br>Penpol School, 2 St Georges Road, Hayle | 14/7/15 – extension req'd 1/7/15 and approved | Chloe Pitt<br><br>No initial concerns with no contribution letters received to date.  | Strong support – submitted online 17/7/15 |                  | Approved 23/7/15           |                           |
| PA15/05480 | 9/7/15        | Mr and Mrs Williams     | Bedroom extension<br><br>1 Mellanear Road, Hayle TR27 4QS  | 23/7/15                                       | Chris Williams<br>Although a subservient extension set further back from the boundary may have been considered preferable, given the relatively modern nature of the host building and the housing estate, together with the absence of any significant landscape constraints, the proposal is not regarded to be of such detriment by way of visual impact as to warrant refusal of the application. The curtilage of the site is considered large enough to house the extension and to retain adequate amenity area and off-road parking<br><br>The proposal is considered unlikely to raise any significant issues of concern beyond that existing by way of overlooking, overbearing, or loss | No objection – submitted online 17/7/15   |                  | Approved 24/7/15           |                           |



**HAYLE TOWN COUNCIL**

**Planning Applications (App A)**

**16 July 2015**

| <b>App. N<sup>o</sup></b> | <b>Date received</b> | <b>Applicant</b> | <b>Application details and Location</b> | <b>Date for comments</b> | <b>CC Officer and Comments</b>  | <b>HTC Decision and Date sent to CC</b> | <b>To go to CC Cmtte</b> | <b>CC Decision and date Rec'd</b> | <b>Report CC Decision to HTC</b> |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---|--------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                           |                      |                  |   |                          | <p>of light. Although given the proximity of the proposed extension to the adjacent property to the south there is likely to be some increase in overbearing and loss of light, given the single storey nature of the proposed extension and the location of the two properties relative to the path of the sun, any increase is unlikely to be of significant detriment beyond that of the existing development, boundary treatments and foliage present in the vicinity. Likely approval.</p> |   |                          |                                   |                                  |